Voting Behavior Among College Students

This brief report uses data from two Cooperative Institutional Research Program (CIRP) surveys, the 2009 Your First College Year (YFCY) survey and the 2009 College Senior Survey (CSS), to examine voting behavior among students who were first-years and seniors in the fall of 2008. It also examines how students’ background characteristics, self-identified political orientations, and civic awareness propensities relate to their voting behavior.

VOTER TURNOUT IN THE 2008 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION

The right to vote is one of the most fundamental American rights, and in the 2008 presidential election the majority of Americans exercised this right and cast a ballot at the polls. Indeed, approximately 131 million Americans voted in the 2008 Presidential election; this represents 64% of those eligible to vote, the highest voter turnout since the 1960’s (McDonald, 2008). Turnout among young voters was no exception; the rate of voting among youth was one of the highest ever recorded. According to estimates from the Center for Information and Research on Civic Learning and Engagement (CIRCLE) at Tufts University, half—51%—of Americans between ages 18-29 cast votes in the 2008 election (Kirby & Kawashima-Ginsberg, 2009).

Although studies have shown that people with higher levels of education have higher rates of political participation (Burden, 2009), little is known about voting behavior among students currently in college.

2008 FIRST-YEAR AND SENIOR VOTING-ELIGIBLE STUDENTS

The analyses in this report are limited to students who could be identified as “voting eligible,” defined as being 18 or older and a U.S. citizen. For the YFCY, the sample utilized all first-time full-time freshmen who indicated that they were 18 years old and U.S. citizens. For the CSS, the sample was restricted to all seniors graduating in four years who were U.S. Citizens (all of these students were over age 18). In total, the voting-eligible respondent pool consisted of 19,977 first-year students, and 12,766 graduating seniors. The majority of students in each sample were enrolled at private institutions, most were at 4-year schools, and most were at institutions of high selectivity. Three-quarters or more of the respondents were white, and the majority were female.
To tease out the unique effects of demographics, political orientation, and other characteristics on voting, a logistic regression was run to predict voting (for both first-year students and seniors) from the following variables: race, gender, first generation status, institutional characteristics, political orientation, social agency construct scores, and civic awareness construct scores (see Sharkness, DeAngelo, & Pryor (2010) for more information about these last two variables). Below, the descriptive findings that correspond to the significant effects from both the first-year and senior models are reported. Where possible, comparisons are drawn to national samples in order to put our findings in perspective.

VOTER TURNOUT AMONG VOTING-ELIGIBLE FIRST-YEARS AND GRADUATING SENIORS
A very high proportion of students in our sample reported having voted in the 2008 presidential election (See Figure 1). Three out of four first-years indicated going to the polls in 2008 (75.3%), and even more seniors, 81.4%, indicated the same. Among both first-years and seniors, more women voted than did men—the difference among first years was 5.6 percentage points (77.1% of women voted vs. 71.5% of men), and the difference among seniors was 6.9 percentage points (84.0% vs. 77.1%). These gaps are slightly larger than the national average voting differential of 4.2 percentage points between all females and males in 2008 (Lopez & Taylor, 2009), but they are in the same direction and are in line with other estimates of voting rate differentials between men and women under age 30, which peg the difference at approximately 6 to 7 percentage points (CIRCLE, 2008).

A noticeable difference can be seen when comparing voting rates among our sample of college students to the rates of voting for 18-29 year olds nationally (Figure 1). Specifically, many more students in our sample reported voting in the 2008 election. This is likely a reflection of the fact that our respondent populations are comprised entirely of students with some or a complete college education—a population more apt to vote than most. In 2008, young people with at least some college experience were almost twice as likely to vote as those who had never been to college (62.1% vs. 35.9%; Kirby & Kawashima-Ginsberg, 2009). In addition, the majority of the students in our samples are enrolled in high selectivity institutions; students at such schools made up 50.6% of the YFCY sample and 65.1% of the CSS sample. Students enrolled at higher selectivity schools were more likely to vote than were students at lower selectivity schools (see Table 1).

VOTING RATES BY RACE/ETHNICITY
Another interesting difference between the YFCY/CSS figures and the national figures for 18- to 29-year olds can be found in the proportion of students of various racial/ethnic groups who reported voting (see Figure 1). Nationally, young African American voters turned out at the highest rates. Almost six in ten of 18- to 29-year old African Americans cast a vote in the 2008 election (58%), compared to 52% of Whites, 42% of Asian Americans, and 41% of Latino/as (CIRCLE, 2008). Interestingly, in the national sample of young people, Latino/as were the least likely of any group to report voting in the 2008 presidential election, but in our samples, Asian American students were the least likely to report voting. It is unclear why such differences occur; it could be due in part to the fact that the general population of Latinos aged 18-29 has, on average, lower levels of educational attainment—in 2008 only 35% of Latinos had attended at least some college, compared to 77% of Asian Americans (U.S. Census Bureau, 2008; author’s calculations). Because more education has been linked to higher rates of voting, it is perhaps not surprising that the college-going population of Latino/as in our sample has a much higher voting rate than the national young Latino/a sample. The relatively lower rates of voting for Asian Americans cannot be so easily explained. However, a low voting propensity among Asian Americans is not a unique finding to this data; scholars are still trying to explain why there are gaps in voting rates between Asian Americans and other groups of Americans (c.f. Xu, 2005). One possible explanation could be the lack of strong party identification among Asian Americans in general (Nguyen & Garand, 2009). As explained below, this certainly seems to be the case with the Asian American students in our sample.

POLITICAL ORIENTATION AND VOTING
Approximately 24% of both first-year students and seniors in our surveys identified themselves as politically conservative (“Far Right” or “Conservative”), 39% identified themselves as “Middle-of-the-Road”,

Table 1. Proportion of students voting in the 2008 presidential election, by institutional selectivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>YFCY</th>
<th>CSS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High selectivity</td>
<td>79.6</td>
<td>83.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium selectivity</td>
<td>73.0</td>
<td>77.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low selectivity</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>74.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
among seniors, only 60.5% of Asian middle-of-the-road identifiers voted compared to 65% or more of all groups except Asian conservatives, who voted as the same rate as their middle-of-the-road counterparts.

### Table 2. Political ideological identification of students of different racial/ethnic groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Asian</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Latino/a</th>
<th>White</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conservative</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>26.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle-of-the-road</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>38.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liberal</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>34.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservative</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>26.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle-of-the-road</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>36.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liberal</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>47.7</td>
<td>37.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CIVIC ENGAGEMENT PROPENSITIES AND VOTING

Students who voted in the 2008 presidential election were more likely to exhibit civic awareness propensities than were students who did not vote. For example, students with high levels of social agency, a measure of the extent to which students value political and social involvement as a personal goal, were more likely to vote than were students with low levels of this measure, and the same was true of students who reported more change in their civic awareness—their understanding of the issues facing their community, nation, and the world—over the course of their college careers. Although it is not surprising that voting rates are highest among students who value knowing about the world and who believe more strongly in being politically and socially involved, it is remarkable that even students with low levels of these traits have higher voting rates than the national average (Figure 3).

Indeed, the fact that ideological middle-of-the-road students were the least likely to vote may in part explain the relatively low rates of voting among the Asian American students in our sample. As shown in Table 2, Asian Americans were the most likely of any racial/ethnic group to identify as middle-of-the-road. Although sample sizes are too low to thoroughly examining voting behavior among students of different race/ethnicities with different political ideologies, it does appear that Asian middle-of-the-road identifiers were the least likely to vote of any group. Among first-years, only 52.6% of Asian middle-of-the-road students voted in the election, compared to over 60% of all other groups;
CONCLUSION

Overall, college students, both first-time, full-time first-years and graduating seniors, had a high voting rate in the 2008 presidential election. Indeed, far more of these students voted than did their age group at large. In part this may be due to the fact that the college student population examined here is comprised largely of females, students at high-selectivity institutions, and White/Caucasians, since these are the students who are most likely to vote. However, the rates of voting for males, students at lower-selectivity institutions, and students of non-white race/ethnicities were still much higher than national averages. Indeed, the lowest estimate of voting behavior examined here—that of Asian students who identified as politically middle-of-the-road—was still higher than the national average voting rate for students aged 18 to 29.

Although concerns are often raised about a lack of political engagement among young people, such concerns do not seem to apply to the enrolled college students examined in this report. However, the numbers cited here should not cause us to be complacent about college students’ voting participation in the future. The 2008 presidential election was historic and unique in many ways, and there is no guarantee that college students will continue to vote in the future at the same levels as they did in the past.
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